



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,308	09/27/2006	Yasuyuki Arai	0756-7836	3549
31780	7590	03/23/2009	EXAMINER	
ERIC ROBINSON			WANG, JACK K	
PMB 955			ART UNIT	
21010 SOUTHBANK ST.			PAPER NUMBER	
POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165			2612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/594,308

Applicant(s)

ARAI ET AL.

Examiner

JACK WANG

Art Unit

2612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 January 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 January 2009 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/5508)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/21/2009

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-12 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bridgelall et al. (Pub # US 2004/0217867 A1), and further in view of Van De Walle et al. (Pub # US 2004/0245519 A1).

Consider claim 1, Bridgelall et al. teaches a product management system comprising a package (20, Fig. 1) for packing a product, wherein the product (29, Fig. 2) is provided with a semiconductor device (RFID tags) (22, Fig. 1), and a reader/writer for reading and writing information stored in the semiconductor device [0003 lines 5-14], wherein the package (20, Fig. 1) is provided with a resonance circuit (relay device) (23, Fig. 2) comprising an antenna coil (26 and 27, Fig. 2) and a capacitor (commonly embedded within antenna circuit); and wherein the resonance circuit (relay device) (23, Fig. 2) can communicate with the reader/writer and the semiconductor device [0026], except wherein the semiconductor device comprises a thin film integrated circuit comprising a thin film transistor, and an antenna.

In the same field of endeavor, Van De Walle et al. teaches the semiconductor device (transponder) (100, Fig. 6) comprises a thin film integrated circuit comprising a thin film

transistor (10, Fig. 6) [0039 lines 12-15], and an antenna (41, Fig. 6) [0039 lines 28-29] for the benefit of providing microcontact printing to reduce the manufacturing cost of RFID tag.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the semiconductor device comprises a thin film integrated circuit comprising a thin film transistor, and an antenna as shown in Van De Walle et al., in Bridgelall et al. device for the benefit of providing microcontact printing to reduce the manufacturing cost of RFID tag.

Consider claim 2, Bridgelall et al. clearly shown and disclose the product management system, wherein a communication method between the reader/writer (interrogator) and the resonance circuit (relay device) (23, Fig. 4) is identical to a communication method between the resonance circuit (relay device) (35, Fig. 4) and the semiconductor device (RFID tag) (32, Fig. 4) [0027].

Consider claim 3, Bridgelall et al. clearly shown and disclose the product management system, wherein the communication method is an electromagnetic induction method (UHF) (36, Fig. 4) [0027 lines 17-24].

Consider claim 4, Bridgelall et al. clearly shown and disclose the product management system, wherein a communication method between the reader/writer and the resonance circuit is different from a communication method between the resonance circuit and the semiconductor device [0028].

Consider claim 5, Bridgelall et al. clearly shown and disclose the product management system, wherein the communication method between the reader/writer and the resonance circuit is any one of an electromagnetic induction method (UHF) and a microwave method [0027 lines

17-24].

Consider claim 6, Bridgelall et al. teaches a product management system comprising a package (20, Fig. 1) for packing a product., wherein the product is provided with an semiconductor device (RFID tags) (22, Fig. 1), and a reader/writer (interrogator) for reading and writing information stored in the semiconductor device, wherein the package is provided with a resonance circuit (relay device) (23, Fig. 2) comprising an antenna coil (26 and 27, Fig. 2) and a capacitor (commonly embedded in antenna circuit); wherein the resonance circuit (relay device) (23, Fig. 2) can communicate with the reader/writer (interrogator) and the semiconductor device (RFID tags) (22, Fig. 1) [0026], except wherein a communication range between the reader/writer and the resonance circuit is longer than a communication range between the resonance circuit and the semiconductor device; and wherein the semiconductor device comprises a thin film integrated circuit comprising a thin film transistor, and an antenna.

Although Bridgelall et al does not specifically disclose the communication range between the reader/writer and the resonance circuit is longer than a communication range between the resonance circuit and the semiconductor device. He does disclose the resonance circuit (relay device) (23, Fig. 2) can be placed within or attached to an exterior portion of the container (24, Fig. 2) [0026 lines 7-13], and the reader/writer is placed a distance away from the container. Since the semiconductor device is located within the container, and the resonance circuit is proximately to the container, wherein the reader/writer is placed a distance away from the container. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill person in art at time of the invention to reasonably interpret the communication range between the reader/writer and the resonance circuit is longer than a communication range between the resonance circuit and the

semiconductor device.

Furthermore, in the same field of endeavor, Van De Walle et al. teaches the semiconductor device (transponder) (100, Fig. 6) comprises a thin film integrated circuit comprising a thin film transistor (10, Fig. 6) [0039 lines 12-15], and an antenna (41, Fig. 6) [0039 lines 28-29] for the benefit of providing microcontact printing to reduce the manufacturing cost of RFID tag.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the semiconductor device comprises a thin film integrated circuit comprising a thin film transistor, and an antenna as shown in Van De Walle et al., in Bridgelall et al. device for the benefit of providing microcontact printing to reduce the manufacturing cost of RFID tag.

Consider claim 7, Bridgelall et al. clearly shown and disclose the product management system, wherein the communication method between the reader/writer and the resonance circuit is any one of an electromagnetic induction method (UHF) and a microwave method [0027 lines 17-24].

Consider claim 8, Bridgelall et al. clearly shown and disclose the product management system, wherein the semiconductor device is an ID tag [0003 lines 5-8].

Consider claim 9, Bridgelall et al. teaches a method comprising: sending at least one of a first signal (38, Fig. 4) comprising first information and a first electric power from a reader/writer (interrogator) to a resonance circuit (relay device) (35, Fig. 4), sending at least one of a second signal (36, Fig. 4) comprising the first information and a second electric power from the resonance circuit (relay device) (23, Fig. 4) to a semiconductor device (RFID tags) (22, Fig.

1) in response to a receipt of said at least one of the first signal (38, Fig. 4) and the first electric power; sending a third signal (34, Fig. 4) comprising second information from said semiconductor device (RFID tags) (22, Fig. 1) to the resonance circuit (relay device) (23, Fig. 4) in response to a receipt of said at least one of the second signal (34, Fig. 4) and the second electric power by the semiconductor device (relay device) (23, Fig. 4), sending a fourth signal (36, Fig. 4) comprising said second information from the resonance circuit (relay device) (35, Fig. 4) to the reader/writer (interrogator) [0027], wherein the semiconductor device (RFID tags) (22, Fig. 1) is attached to a product (42, Fig. 5), the product (42, Fig. 5) is contained in a package (40, Fig. 5), the resonance circuit (28, Fig. 5) is attached to the package (40, Fig. 5) and the reader/writer is disposed outside of the package (40, Fig. 5) [0028], except wherein said semiconductor device comprises a thin film integrated circuit comprising a thin film transistor, and an antenna.

In the same field of endeavor, Van De Walle et al. teaches the semiconductor device (transponder) (100, Fig. 6) comprises a thin film integrated circuit comprising a thin film transistor (10, Fig. 6) [0039 lines 12-15], and an antenna (41, Fig. 6) [0039 lines 28-29] for the benefit of providing microcontact printing to reduce the manufacturing cost of RFID tag.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the semiconductor device comprises a thin film integrated circuit comprising a thin film transistor, and an antenna as shown in Van De Walle et al., in Bridgelall et al. method for the benefit of providing microcontact printing to reduce the manufacturing cost of RFID tag.

Consider claim 10, Bridgelall et al. teaches a method comprising: sending at least one of

a first signal (38, Fig. 4) comprising first information and a first electric power from a reader/writer (interrogator) to a resonance circuit (relay device) (35, Fig. 4), sending at least one of a second signal (36, Fig. 4) comprising the first information and a second electric power from the resonance circuit (relay device) (23, Fig. 4) to a semiconductor device (RFID tags) (22, Fig. 1) in response to a receipt of said at least one of the first signal (38, Fig. 4) and the first electric power; sending a third signal (34, Fig. 4) comprising second information from said semiconductor device (RFID tags) (22, Fig. 1) to the resonance circuit (relay device) (23, Fig. 4) in response to a receipt of said at least one of the second signal (34, Fig. 4) and the second electric power by the semiconductor device (relay device) (23, Fig. 4), sending a fourth signal (36, Fig. 4) comprising said second information from the resonance circuit (relay device) (35, Fig. 4) to the reader/writer (interrogator) [0027], sending a fifth signal comprising said second information from the second resonance circuit to the first resonance circuit, sending a sixth signal comprising said second information from the first resonance circuit to the reader/writer [0026 lines 17-25], wherein the semiconductor device (RFID tags) (22, Fig. 1) is attached to a product (42, Fig. 5), the product (42, Fig. 5) is contained in a package (40, Fig. 5), the resonance circuit (28, Fig. 5) is attached to the package (40, Fig. 5) and the reader/writer is disposed outside of the package (40, Fig. 5) [0028], except wherein said semiconductor device comprises a thin film integrated circuit comprising a thin film transistor, and an antenna.

In the same field of endeavor, Van De Walle et al. teaches the semiconductor device (transponder) (100, Fig. 6) comprises a thin film integrated circuit comprising a thin film transistor (10, Fig. 6) [0039 lines 12-15], and an antenna (41, Fig. 6) [0039 lines 28-29] for the benefit of providing microcontact printing to reduce the manufacturing cost of RFID tag.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the semiconductor device comprises a thin film integrated circuit comprising a thin film transistor, and an antenna as shown in Van De Walle et al., in Bridgelall et al. method for the benefit of providing microcontact printing to reduce the manufacturing cost of RFID tag.

Consider claim 11, Bridgelall et al. clearly shown and disclose the method, wherein the semiconductor device is an ID tag [0003 lines 5-8].

Consider claim 12, Bridgelall et al. clearly shown and disclose the method, wherein the first package (40, Fig. 5) is a container [0028 lines 6-9].

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 1/21/2009, with respect to Abstract, Drawing, and Claim 10 have been fully considered and amended as suggested in prior Office Action. The Objections of Abstract, Drawing, and Claim have been withdrawn.

5. Applicant's arguments filed Remarks have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claim 1, Applicant argues that the Bridgelall does not teach or suggest a package for packing a product, where the product is provided with a semiconductor device and where the package is provided with a resonance circuit; or that a semiconductor device is attached to a product, that the product is contained in a package, and that a resonance circuit is attached to the package. Furthermore, the Van De Walle reference does not cure the deficiencies

in Bridgelall. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. As stated in the Rejection above, the Bridgelall teaches the package (29, Fig. 2) with RFID tag (23, Fig. 2) attached to the package, which is capable of packing the product, and the resonance circuit is inherent within the RFID tag. Since the combined references of the Bridgelall and Van De Walle teaches all limitation claimed by Applicant. Therefore, the Rejection sustained as stated above.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JACK WANG whose telephone number is (571)272-1938. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu can be reached on 571-272-2964. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/JKW/

/Daniel Wu/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2612